

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/566,638	POLAK ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		/Peter A. Hruskoci/	1797

All Participants:

(1) /Peter A. Hruskoci/

(2) Kimberly A. Textoris

Date of Interview: 18 June 2010

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Prior Art Rejections as stated in the prior Office action dated 1/8/10

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

Prior Art of Record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to amend the claims as shown in the Examiner's Amendment to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record in view of pages 5, 6, and 12-14 of the instant specification, and for the sake of clarity and accuracy.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Peter A. Hruskoci/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)